Saturday
7th Jan. '67.

La Quinta HOTEL—*La Quinta, California*

Dear Harold -

My husband and I are down here for the week-end & since he's a tennis buff (and I'm not!), I thought I'd write these few lines to you while he's on the court.

On the 1026 address, I have my own canopy before me — the one entitled "Search and Seizure without a Search Warrant or an Address" — and this is the dilemma I've presended:

1. Lgt. Gerald Hill testifies that the ~~2nd~~ 1st question put to LHO, in the police car, en route from the theatre to the station, was what his name was — and the 2nd question he was asked was where he lived. "... and he didn't answer it, either." (7H58). Hill goes on to say that 2 addresses were found in O.'s billfold — "one of them was Oak Cliff. The other one was in Irving.... it (the ID card - HCF) didn't have an address on Beckley that I recall hearing." Then on p. 61, Belin asks "To the best of your knowledge when the suspect was brought into the police station, up to the time you left him with Capt. Fritz there, had anyone mentioned a Beckley St. address?" And Hill's answer is, "No." — After reasonably inden-

Sive questioning about how + when the Beckley address turned up. - Hill finally says (on p. 62) "I didn't hear anything on Beckley mentioned until probably 7 or 8 o'clock that night." Yet Moore Exh. # 1 shows an inventory was made of items taken from LHO's room at 1026 N. Beckley "on the afternoon of 11/22/63" (At 2:30 p.m. 11/23 (Vol. XXIV p. 286), Fritz sent Senkel, Potts + Cunningham to No. Beckley to search the room but the search warrant is dated 11/23 — and from all the information revealed about LHO from the time he was apprehended until "later that day" (7 H 25) no one had any way of knowing about the Beckley address — Yet officers had been dispatched there at 2:30 P.M. despite the fact that LHO couldn't have reached the station until 2:00 P.M. or later. Additionally, Marlene Roberts in her affidavit (7 H 439) stated that about 30 minutes after LHO left the rooming house en route to the theatre — (which would have been about 1:04 or 1:05) — 3 Dallas policemen came to the rooming house looking for L. H.O.! If this timing is anywhere nearly correct, then the police were looking for LHO before he was even apprehended! (1:04 or 1:05 + 30 minutes = 1:34 or 1:35; Oswald wasn't arrested until 1:52!) I intend to do an article based on this sequence of events but if you wish to use the information, you most certainly may.

La Quinta HOTEL—*La Quinta, California*

As for the scarf, Harold, the reason I've never sent it is that Bill indicated from one of your letters that you had decided on a book for your wife instead — so that I assumed you were no longer interested in presenting her with the scarf. If you still want me to send it, I will, however.

The Ruby circus was entirely in keeping with everything that has gone before. The same attempt to confuse every issue & news bulletin — the same phony cover-up. What is perhaps a new record for villainy & slime is the performance of Schiller & his henchman, Lewis. Remember I did warn you about him! He's a number 1 swine and they're not through with their corruption. I understand a filthy article called "The Scavengers" is to appear in the N.Y. papers soon in which all of us are dragged through the mire in particularly vicious fashion. I suspect they are operating at the request of the govt. to vilify the critics & researchers. You asked about Lomax. I watched-it & writhed at his viciousness toward you. I don't know much about what public reaction was except that I

did hear several phone calls on two-way radio shows which were very favorable to you & very derisive of Lomax — but lets not fool ourselves, he was civil with you. I attended a Jos. Ball "major address" last week in which he lashed out at you, Epstein Jane & Dept referring to you as "the chicken farmer". Their defense is pretty meager when all they can do is indulge in name-calling.

I wish fervently that you were going to introduce Whitewash III somewhere other than on the Pyne show. He, too, is vicious and terribly biased & praises the WR while heaping ridicule & calumny on everyone who dares to question it. He isn't even civil to critics.

Delighted about the Philadelphia paperback sales! That's wonderful news, indeed. Hope they continue to soar.

Have much preparation before me as Ray & I are going to speak before a fairly large group of UCLA students next Thursday — so I'll close. Harold, with warm good wishes always,

Maggie.